

F -method for continuous restriction operators ^{*}

Dedicated to Professor Michael Eastwood for his 60th birthday

Toshiyuki KOBAYASHI

Kavli IPMU, and Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Tokyo

E-mail address: toshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

We prove some finiteness theorems for continuous (respectively, differential) operators that intertwine two induced representations of a reductive Lie group and a reductive subgroup. We then extend the ‘ F -method’ from local to non-local operators, aiming for detailed analysis of such operators. We illustrate this general idea by concrete examples in conformal geometry, and explain how we can discover functional equations among such operators by the F -method. We also indicate how continuous operators with meromorphic parameters yield conformally equivariant differential operators as residues.

2010 MSC: Primary 22E46; Secondary 53C35

Key words and phrases: branching law, reductive Lie group, parabolic geometry, Rankin–Cohen operator, conformal geometry, Verma module, F -method.

Contents

1 Finiteness theorems of restriction maps

2

^{*}Proceedings at the Interaction of Geometry and Representation Theory: Exploring New Frontiers (in honor of Michael Eastwood’s 60th birthday). ESI, Vienna, 10-14 September 2012. This work is partially supported by IHES and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (22340026) JSPS

2	<i>F</i> -method for continuous operators	7
3	Conformally equivariant restriction operators	10
4	Conformally equivariant non-local operators	13

1 Finiteness theorems of restriction maps

Let G be a real reductive linear Lie group, and P a parabolic subgroup. Associated to a finite dimensional representation $\lambda : P \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, we define a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{V} := G \times_P V$ over the real flag variety $X := G/P$. Then the group G acts continuously on the space $C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V})$ of smooth sections, which is endowed with the Fréchet topology of uniform convergence of finite derivatives.

Suppose G' is an (algebraic) reductive subgroup of G , P' is a parabolic subgroup of G' , and $Y := G'/P'$. For a finite dimensional representation $\nu : P' \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$, we define similarly a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{W} := G' \times_{P'} W$ over Y , and form a continuous representation of G' on $C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})$. We denote by $\text{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W}))$ the space of continuous G' -homomorphisms.

Assume further that

$$(1.1) \quad P' \subset P \cap G'.$$

Then we have a natural G' -equivariant morphism $\iota : Y \rightarrow X$. With this morphism ι , we can define a continuous linear operator $T : C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})$ to be a *differential operator* in a wider sense than usual by the following local property:

$$\text{Supp}(Tf) \subset \iota^{-1}(\text{Supp } f) \quad \text{for any } f \in C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}).$$

In the case $Y = X$, this definition is equivalent to that T is a differential operator in the classical sense by Peetre's theorem. Let $\text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W}))$ denote the space of G' -intertwining differential operators.

The object of our study is the following two spaces:

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) \subset \text{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})).$$

Our project is:

Project A. Construct *explicitly* differential (local)/ continuous (non-local) intertwining operators, and classify them.

B. Single out appropriate settings in which the first problem makes sense.

The recent work [5, 18, 23, 25, 26] is a part of Project A, whereas the general theory of restrictions of representations [13, 15, 17, 24] contributes to Project B. In fact this project reflects some special aspect of the branching problem. Finding explicit formulae of operators would make sense only if such operators are natural ones. Thus, in Project B, we wish to single out nice settings that assure the spaces in (1.2) to be finite dimensional, of uniformly bounded dimensions, or even preferably, one-dimensional. For this, we can apply the general theory of restrictions of representations with respect to reductive groups $G \supset G'$. The rest of this section will be devoted to an up-to-date summary of Project B. We shall discuss Project A in Sections 2 to 4.

Let us begin Project B with the most general case, namely, the case where P and P' are minimal parabolic subgroups of G and G' , respectively. Then (1.1) is automatically satisfied (with P replaced by its conjugate, if necessary). We state two theorems on the upper estimate of the dimensions in this case:

Theorem 1.1 (local operators). *Assume $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G'$. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) < \infty$$

for all finite dimensional representations V of P and W of P' .

Remark 1.2. We can relax the real rank assumption of Theorem 1.1 by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{reg, hyp}} \cap \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \{0\},$$

see (1.7) below.

Theorem 1.3 (non-local operators, [24]). 1) (finite multiplicity) *The following two conditions on the pair (G, G') are equivalent:*

(i) *For all finite dimensional representations V of P and W of P' ,*

$$(1.5) \quad \dim \text{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})) < \infty.$$

(ii) *There exists an open P' -orbit on G/P .*

2) (uniformly bounded multiplicity) *Let G be a simple Lie group. We write $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ for the complexifications of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}}$ of G and G' , respectively. Then the following condition on the pair (G, G') is equivalent:*

(i)

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_V \sup_W \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional, irreducible representations of P and P' , respectively.

(ii) *The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ is a strong Gelfand pair, i.e., the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ is one of $(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, $(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ ($n \neq 1$), $(\mathfrak{so}(n+1, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}'$.*

Theorem 1.3 (1) suggests that the orbit structure $P' \backslash G / P$ is crucial to understand $\operatorname{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W}))$. In fact, the orbit structure is used as a first step of the classification of this space (see [26]) in the setting that we discuss in Section 4. A remarkable feature is that the condition (ii) in Theorem 1.3 (2) depends only on the complexification $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ whereas the condition (ii) in Theorem 1.3 (1) depends on the real form $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Comparing Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, we see that the space of continuous intertwining operators (i.e. the right-hand side of (1.2)) may be much larger than that of differential ones (i.e. the left-hand side of (1.2)). Namely, ‘appropriate settings’ in Project B should be different for local and non-local operators:

Example 1.4. Let $(G, G') = (GL(4, \mathbb{R}), GL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(2, \mathbb{R}))$. Then (1.3) holds, whereas (1.5) fails.

Example 1.5. Let $(G, G') = (H \times H, \operatorname{diag} H)$ with $H = O(p, q)$. Then the condition (ii) of Theorem 1.3 (1) holds if and only if $\min(p, q) \leq 1$. Again, (1.3) holds but (1.5) fails if $\min(p, q) \geq 2$. Continuous intertwining operators were studied in [5] in the case $q = 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on the compactification of the group manifold with normal-crossing boundaries, and on the theory of microfunctions and hyperfunctions developed by M. Sato, M. Kashiwara, T. Kawai, and T. Oshima [12]. We note that the proof [24] does not use any case-by-case

argument. Alternatively, the ‘only if’ part of Theorem 1.3 (2) could be derived from a recent multiplicity-free theorem [29] and from the classification of real forms of strong Gelfand pairs.

We give a proof of Theorem 1.1 in a more general form below. Let us fix some notation. A semisimple element H of a complex reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *hyperbolic* if the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(H) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$ are all real. Given a hyperbolic element H , we define subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ \equiv \mathfrak{n}^+(H), \quad \mathfrak{l} \equiv \mathfrak{l}(H), \quad \mathfrak{n}^- \equiv \mathfrak{n}^-(H)$$

to be the sum of the eigenspaces with positive, zero, and negative eigenvalues, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{p}(H) := \mathfrak{l}(H) + \mathfrak{n}^+(H)$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Lie algebra of a real reductive Lie group G , and $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. If H is a hyperbolic element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then

$$P(H) := \{g \in G : \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}(H) = \mathfrak{p}(H)\} = L(H) \exp(\mathfrak{n}^+(H))$$

is a parabolic subgroup of G , and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}(H) := \mathfrak{p}(H) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is its Lie algebra.

We define the following subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{reg,hyp}} := \{H \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{ad}(H) \text{ is hyperbolic, and } L(H) \text{ is amenable}\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}(H)$ is a minimal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{reg,hyp}}$.

Definition 1.6. We say a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is *$\mathfrak{g}'$ -compatible* if there exists a hyperbolic element H in $\mathfrak{g}'$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \equiv \mathfrak{p}(H)$. We say P is *G' -compatible* if we can take H in $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}}$.

If P is G' -compatible, then $\mathfrak{p}' := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}'$ becomes a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}'$ with Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{p}' = (\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}') + (\mathfrak{n}^+ \cap \mathfrak{g}')$ and $P' := P \cap G'$ becomes a parabolic subgroup of G' .

By abuse of notation, we write $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} \rightarrow X$ for the line bundle associated to (λ, V) if V is one dimensional. We write $\lambda \gg 0$ if $\langle d\lambda, \alpha \rangle \gg 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{j})$ where $\mathfrak{j}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l}$.

Theorem 1.7 (local operators). *Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{n}^+$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$ -compatible.*

1) (finite multiplicity) *For any finite dimensional representation V and W of the parabolic subgroups P and P' , respectively, we have*

$$\dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{V}), C^{\infty}(Y, \mathcal{W})) < \infty.$$

2) (uniformly bounded multiplicity) If $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ is a reductive symmetric pair and $\mathfrak{n}^+$ is abelian, then

$$\sup_W \dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{L}_\lambda), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) = 1,$$

for any one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ of P with $\lambda \gg 0$. Here W runs over all finite dimensional irreducible representations of P' .

Proof. 1) We extend the classical duality between Verma modules and principal series representations (e.g. [9]) to the context of the restriction for reductive groups $G \downarrow G'$, and we have the following bijection:

$$\text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}', P')}(U(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}')} W^\vee, U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} V^\vee) \simeq \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(G'/P', \mathcal{W})),$$

see [25, Corollary 2.9]. Here $(\lambda^\vee, V^\vee)$ denotes the contragredient representation of (λ, V) . Then the proof of Theorem 1.7 is reduced to the next proposition. $\square$

Proposition 1.8. *Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{n}^+$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$ -compatible.*

1) *For any finite dimensional $\mathfrak{p}$ -module F and $\mathfrak{p}'$ -module F' ,*

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(U(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}')} F', U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} F) < \infty.$$

2) *If $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$ is a symmetric pair and $\mathfrak{n}^+$ is abelian, then*

$$\sup_{F'} \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(U(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p}')} F', U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda) = 1$$

for any one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\lambda \ll 0$. Here the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional simple $\mathfrak{p}'$ -modules F' .

Proof. 1) The proof is parallel to [17, Theorem 3.10] which treated the case where F and F' are simple modules of P and P' , respectively.

2) See [17, Theorem 5.1] for the proof. $\square$

See also [15, Theorem B] for an analogous statement to Theorem 1.7 (2) which was formulated in the context of unitary representations.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If H is a generic hyperbolic element H in $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}}(H)$ is a minimal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ owing to the rank assumption. Then Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.7. $\square$

Remark 1.9. In most cases, for each representation (λ, V) of P , there exist continuously many representations (ν, W) of P' such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) \neq \{0\}.$$

However, there exist a few cases where $X = Y$ and $G \supsetneq G'$, and consequently, there exist only a finite number of representations (ν, W) of P' such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) \neq \{0\}$$

([16, Theorem 3.8]). Even in this case, Project A sheds some new light on the interaction of the classical analysis and representation theory (e.g. Weyl calculus), see [4, 22] for $(G, G') = (GL(2n, \mathbb{F}), Sp(n, \mathbb{F}))$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$.

2 F -method for continuous operators

The ‘ F -method’ is a powerful tool to find singular vectors in the Verma modules by using the algebraic Fourier transform [18]. We developed the ‘ F -method’ in the previous papers [23, 25], and constructed new covariant differential operators that generalize the classical Rankin–Cohen bi-differential operator [6, 7, 28] and the Juhl’s conformally equivariant differential operator [11].

In this section, we follow the notation of [25], and generalize the idea of the F -method from local to non-local operators. Concrete examples will be discussed in Section 4.

We retain the setting of the previous section. Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of G . According to the Gelfand–Naimark decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- + \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{n}^+$ of the complex reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we have a diffeomorphism

$$\mathfrak{n}^- \times L_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{n}^+ \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (X, \ell, Y) \mapsto (\exp X)\ell(\exp Y),$$

onto an open subset $G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ containing the identity in a complexification $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ of G . Let

$$p_{\pm} : G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}^{\pm}, \quad p_o : G_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{C}},$$

be the projections characterized by the identity $\exp(p_-(g))p_o(g)\exp(p_+(g)) = g$. We define the following maps α and β .

$$(2.1) \quad (\alpha, \beta) : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-, \quad (D, C) \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (p_o(e^{tD}e^C), p_-(e^{tD}e^C)).$$

For $D \in \mathfrak{g}$, we may regard $\beta(D, \cdot)$ as a holomorphic vector field on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ via the identification $\mathfrak{n}^- \ni C \mapsto \beta(D, C) \in \mathfrak{n}^- \simeq T_C \mathfrak{n}^-$.

Let $N^\pm := \exp(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^\pm)$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \iota : \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow G/P, \quad Z \mapsto (\exp Z)P$$

defines an open Bruhat cell N^-P/P in the real flag variety G/P .

We denote by $\mathbb{C}_{2\rho}$ the one-dimensional representation of P on $\Lambda^{\dim \mathfrak{n}}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$. The infinitesimal representation will be denoted by 2ρ .

Let (λ, V) be a finite dimensional representation of P with trivial action of N^+ . Then the dualizing bundle $\mathcal{V}^* := \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{G/P}$ is given by $\mathcal{V}^* \simeq G \times_P (V^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}_{2\rho})$ as a homogeneous vector bundle. The pull-back of $\mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow G/P$ to $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^-$ via (2.2) defines the trivial vector bundle $\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^- \times V^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^-$, and induces an injective morphism $\iota^* : C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^-) \otimes V^*$. The infinitesimal representation of the regular representation $C^\infty(G/P, \mathcal{V}^*)$ is given as an operator on $C^\infty(\mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^-) \otimes V^\vee$ by

$$(2.3) \quad d\pi_\lambda^*(C) := \langle d\lambda^\vee + 2\rho \text{id}_{V^\vee}, \alpha(C, \cdot) \rangle - \beta(C, \cdot) \otimes \text{id}_{V^\vee}.$$

We may regard $d\pi_\lambda^*(C)$ as an $\text{End}(V^\vee)$ -valued holomorphic differential operator on $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{n}_\mathbb{R}^- \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}$.

Let E be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. We recall that the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{D}(E)$ is the ring of holomorphic differential operators on E with polynomial coefficients.

Definition 2.1. We define the *algebraic Fourier transform* as an isomorphism of two Weyl algebras on E and its dual space $E^\vee$:

$$\mathcal{D}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(E^\vee), \quad T \mapsto \widehat{T},$$

induced by

$$\widehat{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} := -\zeta_j, \quad \widehat{z_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n = \dim E,$$

where $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are coordinates on E and $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ are coordinates on $E^\vee$. The definition does not depend on the choice of coordinates.

In what follows, we shall regard distributions as generalized functions à la Gelfand rather than continuous linear forms on $C_c^\infty(X)$.

Remark 2.2. Suppose $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real form of E . We define ‘Fourier transforms’

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_c : \mathcal{E}'(E_{\mathbb{R}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E^{\vee}), & f &\mapsto \int_{E_{\mathbb{R}}} f(x) e^{\langle x, \zeta \rangle} dx, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{E}'(E_{\mathbb{R}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(E^{\vee}), & f &\mapsto \int_{E_{\mathbb{R}}} f(x) e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{E}'(E_{\mathbb{R}})$ stands for the space of compactly supported distributions. We note

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} f)(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}_c f)(-i\xi).$$

In [18, 25] we have adopted $\mathcal{F}_c$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$. An advantage of $\mathcal{F}_c$ is that the algebraic Fourier transform defined in Definition 2.1 satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \widehat{T} = \mathcal{F}_c \circ T \circ \mathcal{F}_c^{-1} \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{D}(E).$$

See also Remark 2.4 below.

Suppose P is a G' -compatible parabolic subgroup, and $P' := P \cap G' = L' \exp(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}^+)'$. We define a subspace of $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ -valued tempered distributions on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ by

$$\text{Sol}(V, W)^{\wedge} := \{F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{R}}^+) \otimes \text{Hom}(V, W) : F \text{ satisfies (2.6) and (2.7)}\},$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \nu(l) \circ F(\text{Ad}(l^{-1}) \cdot) \circ \lambda(l^{-1}) = F(\cdot) \quad \text{for all } l \in L',$$

$$(2.7) \quad (\widehat{d\pi_{\lambda}^*(C)} \otimes \text{id}_W + \text{id}_{V^{\vee}} \otimes d\nu(C))F = 0 \quad \text{for all } C \in (\mathfrak{n}^+)'.$$

Combining [25] and [26], we obtain:

Theorem 2.3 (*F-method for continuous operators*). *Let G be a reductive linear Lie group, and G' a reductive subgroup. Suppose P is a G' -compatible parabolic subgroup of G , and $P' = P \cap G'$. We assume*

$$(2.8) \quad P' N^- P = G.$$

Then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the distribution kernel induces the following bijection:

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Hom}_{G'}(C^{\infty}(G/P, \mathcal{V}), C^{\infty}(G'/P', \mathcal{W})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sol}(V, W)^{\wedge}.$$

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.3 extends the following bijection:

$$(2.10) \quad \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Sol}(V, W)^\wedge \cap \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}^+),$$

which was proved in [25] (cf. [23]) without the assumption (2.8). In the case where $\mathfrak{n}^+$ is abelian, the bijection (2.10) is compatible with the symbol map

$$\text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(X, \mathcal{V}), C^\infty(Y, \mathcal{W})) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathfrak{n}^+) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W),$$

if we use $\mathcal{F}_c$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [18, 25]).

3 Conformally equivariant restriction operators

In this section we set up some notation for conformally equivariant operators in the setting where $G' \subset G$ act conformally on two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds $Y \subset X$, respectively.

Let X be a smooth manifold equipped with a pseudo-Riemannian structure g . Suppose a group G acts conformally on X . The action will be denoted by $L_h : X \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto L_h x$ for $h \in G$. Then there exists a positive-valued function Ω on $G \times X$ such that

$$L_h^*(g_{L_h x}) = \Omega(h, x)^2 g_x \quad \text{for any } h \in G, \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and we define a linear map $\varpi_\lambda(h^{-1}) : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ by

$$(\varpi_\lambda(h^{-1})f)(x) := \Omega(h, x)^\lambda f(L_h x).$$

Since the conformal factor Ω satisfies the cocycle condition:

$$\Omega(h_1 h_2, x) = \Omega(h_1, L_{h_2} x) \Omega(h_2, x) \quad \text{for } h_1, h_2 \in G, x \in X,$$

we have formed a family of representations $\varpi_\lambda \equiv \varpi_\lambda^X$ of G on $C^\infty(X)$ with complex parameter λ (see [21, Part I] for details).

Remark 3.1. 1) If G acts on X as isometries, then $\Omega \equiv 1$ and therefore the representation ϖ_λ does not depend on λ .

2) Let n be the dimension of X . Then in our normalization, $(\varpi_n, C^\infty(X))$ is isomorphic to the representation on $C^\infty(X, \Omega_X)$ where Ω_X denotes the bundle of densities.

Let $\text{Conf}(X)$ be the full group of conformal transformations on (X, g) . Suppose Y is a submanifold of X such that the restriction $g|_Y$ is non-degenerate. Clearly, this assumption is automatically satisfied if (X, g) is a Riemannian manifold. We define a subgroup of $\text{Conf}(X)$ by

$$\text{Conf}(X; Y) = \{\varphi \in \text{Conf}(X) : \varphi(Y) \subset Y\}.$$

Then φ induces a conformal transformation on $(Y, g|_Y)$, and we get a natural group homomorphism

$$\text{Conf}(X; Y) \rightarrow \text{Conf}(Y).$$

Thus, for $\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the following two representations:

$$\begin{aligned}\varpi_\lambda^X : \text{Conf}(X) &\rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(X)), \\ \varpi_\nu^Y : \text{Conf}(X; Y) &\rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(Y)).\end{aligned}$$

We are ready to state the following problem:

Problem 3.2. 1) Classify $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that there exists a non-zero continuous/differential operator

$$T_{\lambda, \nu} : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y)$$

satisfying

$$\varpi_\nu^Y(h) \circ T_{\lambda, \nu} = T_{\lambda, \nu} \circ \varpi_\lambda^X(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in \text{Conf}(X; Y).$$

2) Find explicit formulas of the operators $T_{\lambda, \nu}$.

We begin with an obvious example.

Example 3.3. Suppose $\lambda = \nu$. We take $T_{\lambda, \nu}$ to be the restriction of functions from X to Y . Clearly, $T_{\lambda, \nu}$ intertwines ϖ_λ and ϖ_ν .

Problem 3.2 concerns with a geometric aspect of the general branching problem for representations with respect to the restriction $G \downarrow G'$ in the case where

$$(G, G') = (\text{Conf}(X), \text{Conf}_Y(X)).$$

We shall see in Section 4 that a special case of Problem 3.2 is a special case of Project A. In Section 4, we shall write ϖ_λ^G and $\varpi_\nu^{G'}$ for $\varpi_\lambda \equiv \varpi_\lambda^X$ and $\varpi_\nu \equiv \varpi_\nu^Y$, respectively, in order to emphasize the groups G and G' .

We continue some further examples of Problem 3.2.

Example 3.4. Suppose $X = Y$. Then $G = G'$. Let n be the dimension of the manifold, and we consider the following specific parameter:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}n - 1, \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2}n + 1.$$

Then the Yamabe operator $\widetilde{\Delta}_X$ satisfies $\varpi_\nu \circ \widetilde{\Delta}_X = \widetilde{\Delta}_X \circ \varpi_\lambda$, where

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_X := \Delta_X - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\kappa.$$

Here Δ_X is the Laplacian for the pseudo-Riemannian manifold (X, g) , and κ is the scalar curvature.

In particular, if X is the direct product of two spheres $S^p \times S^q$ endowed with the pseudo-Riemannian structure $g_{S^p} \oplus (-g_{S^q})$ of signature (p, q) , then the kernel $\text{Ker}(\widetilde{\Delta}_X)$ gives rise to an important irreducible unitary representation of $\text{Conf}(X) \simeq O(p+1, q+1)$, so-called the *minimal representation* for $p+q$ even, $p, q \geq 1$, and $p+q \geq 6$ [14, 21] in the sense that its annihilator in the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{o}(p+q+2, \mathbb{C}))$ is the Joseph ideal [3, 27]. The same representation is known to have different realizations and constructions, e.g. the local theta correspondence [10], and the Schrödinger model [20].

Example 3.5 (Juhl [11]). Let X be the standard sphere S^n and $Y = S^{n-1}$ a totally geodesic hypersurface (‘great circle’ when $n = 2$). Then we have covering maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O(n+1, 1) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Conf}(X), \\ \cup & & \cup \\ O(n, 1) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Conf}_Y(X). \end{array}$$

We set

$$(G, G') = (O(n+1, 1), O(n, 1)).$$

Then non-zero G' -equivariant differential operators $T_{\lambda, \nu} : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(Y)$ exist if and only if the parameter (λ, ν) satisfies $\nu - \lambda \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$. In this case $\dim \text{Diff}_{G'}(C^\infty(X), C^\infty(Y)) = 1$.

In order to describe this differential operator $T_{\lambda, \nu}$ explicitly, we use the stereographic projection

$$(3.1) \quad S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (s, \sqrt{1-s^2}\omega) \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{1-s}{1+s}}\omega,$$

and the corresponding twisted pull-back for the conformal map (3.1) (see [21, Part I])

$$(3.2) \quad \iota_\lambda^* : C^\infty(S^n) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \mapsto F$$

is given by

$$F(r\omega) := (1+r^2)^{-\lambda} f\left(\frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2}, \frac{2r}{1+r^2}\omega\right) \quad \text{for } r > 0 \text{ and } \omega \in S^{n-1}.$$

In the coordinates, the submanifold Y corresponds to the hyperplane $x_n = 0$ via (3.1). Then for $\nu - \lambda = 2l$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$), the equivariant differential operator $T_{\lambda,\nu}$ is a scalar multiple of the following differential operator:

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda,\nu} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}),$$

$$f \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^l \frac{2^{2l-2j}}{j!(2l-2j)!} \prod_{i=1}^{l-j} \left(\frac{\lambda + \nu - n - 1}{2} + i \right) \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{2l-2j} f|_{x_n=0}.$$

The differential operator $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda,\nu}$ can be written by using the Gegenbauer polynomial as follows. Let $C_N^\mu(t)$ be the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree N , and we inflate $C_N^\mu(t)$ to a polynomial of two variables by

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{C}_{2l}^\mu(s, t) := \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\mu+l)} s^l C_{2l}^\mu\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{s}}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^l \frac{(-1)^j 2^{2l-2j}}{j!(2l-2j)!} \prod_{i=1}^{l-j} (\mu + l + i - 1) s^j t^{2l-2j}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda,\nu} f = \tilde{C}_{2l}^{\lambda-\frac{n-1}{2}}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}) f|_{x_n=0}.$$

A. Juhl [11] discovered the formula (3.3) by using recurrence relations. In [23] we have given a new proof by using a differential equation according to the F -method. Theorem 4.2 gives yet another new proof of the formula (3.3) based on the residue calculations of continuous intertwining operators with meromorphic parameter.

4 Conformally equivariant non-local operators

In this section we analyze both non-local and local, conformally equivariant operators in the setting of Example 3.5 by using the F -method.

From the viewpoint of representation theory, Example 3.5 deals with differential intertwining operators between spherical principal series representations ϖ_λ^G and those $\varpi_\nu^{G'}$ when $(G, G') = (O(n+1, 1), O(n, 1))$. In this case all the assumptions for Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are fulfilled, and therefore we tell a priori that both of the sides in (1.2) are finite dimensional. In the joint work [26] with B. Speh, we prove the full classification of such intertwining operators between line bundles for both non-local and local ones with explicit generators, which gives the first complete example of Project A. Since most of the proof in [26] does not use the F -method, it would be of help for further understandings to illuminate some of the key results of [26] from the scope of the F -method (Section 3). This is the goal of the current section. In particular, we use systematically the F -method and explain an idea of how to discover functional equations among non-local intertwining operators (Theorem 4.5) and how the local operators $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda, \nu}$ appear as the residues of non-local operators $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \nu}$ with meromorphic parameter $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ (Theorem 4.2).

We set $|x| := (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. If $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\lambda - \nu) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(\lambda + \nu) > n - 1,$$

then $K_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{A}}(x, x_n) := |x_n|^{\lambda + \nu - n}(|x|^2 + x_n^2)^{-\nu}$ is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the integral operator

$$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \quad f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y, y_n) K(x - y, -y_n) dy dy_n$$

extends to a G' -intertwining operator via (3.2)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \nu} : C^\infty(S^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{n-1}),$$

namely,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \nu} \circ \varpi_\lambda^G(h) = \varpi_\nu^{G'}(h) \circ \mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \nu} \quad \text{for all } h \in G'.$$

The important property of our intertwining operator $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \nu}$ is the existence of the meromorphic continuation to $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ (see Theorem 4.1) and the functional equations satisfied by $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \nu}$ and the Knapp–Stein intertwining operators (see Theorem 4.5). We note that the celebrated theorem [1, 2] on meromorphic continuation of distributions does not apply immediately to our distribution $K_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{A}}$ because the two singularities $x_n = 0$ and $|x|^2 + x_n^2 = 0$

(i.e. the origin) are highly degenerate and not transversal. Further, it is more involved to find the location of the poles and zeros. In [26] we have found all the poles and residues explicitly, and in particular, we have established the following theorems:

Theorem 4.1. *We normalize $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+\nu-n+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-\nu}{2})}\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\nu}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} : C^\infty(S^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ is a continuous operator that depends holomorphically on $(\lambda, \nu) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and satisfies*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} \circ \varpi_\lambda^G(h) = \varpi_\nu^{G'}(h) \circ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} \quad \text{for all } h \in G'.$$

Theorem 4.2. *If $\nu - \lambda = 2l$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} = \frac{(-1)^l l! \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2^{2l} \Gamma(\nu)} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda,\nu}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.2 in [26] is to use explicit formulae of the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda,\nu}$ on K -fixed vectors. Instead, we apply here the F -method and give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.2, which is of more analytic nature and without using computations for specific K -types.

We write $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{C}}$ for the distribution kernels of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\lambda,\nu}$, respectively. For the open domain (4.1), we have

$$\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}}(x, x_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+\nu-n+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-\nu}{2})} |x_n|^{\lambda+\nu-n} (|x|^2 + x_n^2)^{-\nu}.$$

Proposition 4.3. 1) *The tempered distribution $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a real analytic function (in particular, locally integrable) in the open subset $\{(\zeta, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |\zeta| > |\zeta_n|\}$, where $|\zeta| = (\zeta_1^2 + \dots + \zeta_{n-1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By the analytic continuation (cf. (2.4)), we have for $|\zeta| > |\zeta_n|$*

(4.2)

$$(\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}})(\zeta, \zeta_n) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\nu-\lambda)} |\zeta|^{\nu-\lambda}}{\Gamma(\nu) 2^{\nu-\lambda}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\lambda-\nu}{2}, \frac{\lambda+\nu+1-n}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{\zeta_n^2}{|\zeta|^2}\right).$$

2) *Suppose $\nu - \lambda = 2l$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$). Then*

$$(\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{C}})(\zeta, \zeta_n) = \tilde{C}_{2l}^{\lambda - \frac{n-1}{2}} (-|\zeta|^2, \zeta_n).$$

Proof. 1) We use the integration formula

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{A}}(\xi, \xi_n) = \frac{2^{-\nu + \frac{n+1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\nu) |\xi|^{-\nu + \frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{\lambda - \frac{n+1}{2}} K_{-\nu + \frac{m}{2}}(|t\xi|) e^{-it\xi_n} dt,$$

where $K_{\mu}(t)$ denotes the K -Bessel function. We then use the following integration formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} x^{\gamma} K_{\mu}(ax) \cos(bx) dx \\ &= 2^{\gamma-1} a^{-\gamma-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu + \gamma + 1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \gamma - \mu}{2}\right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\mu + \gamma + 1}{2}, \frac{1 + \gamma - \mu}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{b^2}{a^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(-\gamma \pm \mu) < 1$, $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$, $b > 0$, see [8, 6.699.4].

2) Clear from definition. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By using the following formula of the Gegenbauer polynomial of even degree

$$C_{2l}^{\mu}(x) = \frac{(-1)^l \Gamma(l + \mu)}{l! \Gamma(\mu)} {}_2F_1\left(-l, l + \mu; \frac{1}{2}; x^2\right),$$

we get

$$\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{(-1)^l l! \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2^{2l} \Gamma(\nu)} \mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{C}}$$

for $|\zeta| > |\zeta_n|$.

In view that $\operatorname{Supp} \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{A}} \subset \{0\}$ for $\nu - \lambda \in 2\mathbb{N}$ ([26]), both $\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathcal{C}}$ are holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Hence Theorem 4.2 follows. $\square$

Remark 4.4. The assumption (2.8) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied, and therefore, $\operatorname{Hom}_{G'}(C^{\infty}(G/P, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}), C^{\infty}(G'/P', \mathcal{L}_{\nu}))$ can be identified with

$$\operatorname{Sol}(\lambda, \nu)^{\wedge} = \{F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : F \text{ satisfies the following three equations}\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F(m \cdot) = F(\cdot) \quad \text{for } m \in O(n-1) \times O(1), \\ & \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i} + \lambda - \nu \right) F = 0, \\ & \left(\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta_j \right) F = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1). \end{aligned}$$

The differential operators in the last equation are the *fundamental differential operators* on the light cone [20, Chapter 2] (or Bessel operators in the context of Jordan algebras). The heart of the F -method is that this differential equation explains why the hypergeometric functions (and the Gegenbauer polynomials as special cases) arise in the formula of $\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_c \tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{C}}$ in Proposition 4.3.

We recall that the Riesz potential

$$\tilde{K}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}^{\mathcal{T}}(x, x_n) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{n}{2})} (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^\lambda$$

gives the normalized Knapp–Stein intertwining operator by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n-\lambda,\lambda} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \mapsto \tilde{K}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}^{\mathcal{T}} * f.$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}$ depends holomorphically on $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$\varpi_\lambda^G(h) \circ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n-\lambda,\lambda} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n-\lambda,\lambda} \circ \varpi_{n-\lambda}^G(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in G.$$

Here are the functional equations among the three operators: our operators $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu}$, the Knapp–Stein operators $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu,m-\nu}$ for G' and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}$ for G .

Theorem 4.5 ([26]). *Let $m = n - 1$.*

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu,m-\nu} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,m-\nu}.$$

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\lambda,\nu} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{n-\lambda,\lambda} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n-\lambda,\nu}.$$

Heuristic idea of proof based on the F -method. Combining (4.2) and

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_c(\tilde{K}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}^{\mathcal{T}})(\zeta, \zeta_n) = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(2\lambda-n)} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2^{2\lambda-n} \Gamma(\lambda)} (|\zeta|^2 + \zeta_n^2)^{\lambda-\frac{n}{2}},$$

we would have the following identity of holomorphic functions on $\{(\zeta, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\zeta| > |\zeta_n|\}$ as analytic continuation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_c(\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}} * \tilde{K}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}^{\mathcal{T}}) &= \mathcal{F}_c(\tilde{K}_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mathcal{A}}) \mathcal{F}_c(\tilde{K}_{n-\lambda,\lambda}^{\mathcal{T}}) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(\lambda+\nu-n)} (|\zeta|^2 + \zeta_n^2)^{\lambda-\frac{n}{2}} |\zeta|^{\nu-\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\nu) 2^{\lambda+\nu-n}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\lambda-\nu}{2}, \frac{\lambda+\nu+1-n}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{\zeta_n^2}{|\zeta|^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.3) would be reduced to Kummer's relation:

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = (1 - z)^{\gamma - \alpha - \beta} F(\gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta; \gamma; z).$$

The identity (4.4) is similar and simpler. $\square$

The advantage of the F -method indicated as above is that we can discover such identities as (4.3) and (4.4) in a natural way. This direction will be discussed also in [23] for functional identities of differential operators (e.g. factorization identities in [11]). In the case of non-local operators, we need a careful treatment of distributions. A rigorous and completely different proof of Theorem 4.5 and its variants is given in [26].

References

- [1] M. Atiyah, *Resolution of singularities and division of distributions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **23**, (1970) 145–150.
- [2] I. N. Bernstein, S. I. Gelfand, *Meromorphic property of the functions P^λ* , Funktsional Anal, i Prilozhen., **3**, (1969) 84–85.
- [3] B. Binetgar, R. Zierau, *Unitarization of a singular representation of $SO(p, q)$* , Comm. Math. Phys., **138**, (1991) 245–258.
- [4] P. Clare, *On the degenerate principal series of complex symplectic groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **262**, (2012) 4160–4180.
- [5] J.-L. Clerc, T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted, and M. Pevzner, *Generalized Bernstein–Reznikov integrals*, Math. Ann., **349**, (2011) 395–431.
- [6] H. Cohen, *Sums involving the values at negative integers of L -functions of quadratic characters*, Math. Ann., **217**, (1975) 271–285.
- [7] P. B. Cohen, Y. Manin, D. Zagier, *Automorphic pseudodifferential operators*, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., **26**, Birkhäuser, 1997 pp. 17–47.
- [8] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Seventh edition. Elsevier, 2007. xlviii+1171 pp.

- [9] M. Harris, H. P. Jakobsen, *Singular holomorphic representations and singular modular forms*, Math. Ann., **259**, (1982) 227–244.
- [10] J.-S. Huang, C.-B. Zhu, *On certain small representations of indefinite orthogonal groups*, Represent. Theory, **1**, (1997) 190–206.
- [11] A. Juhl, *Families of conformally covariant differential operators, Q-curvature and holography*. Progr. Math., **275**. Birkhäuser, 2009.
- [12] M. Kashiwara, T. Oshima, *Systems of differential equations with regular singularities and their boundary value problems*, Ann. of Math., **106**, (1977) 145–200.
- [13] T. Kobayashi, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups and its applications*, Invent. Math., **117**, (1994) 181–205; Part II, Ann. of Math., **147**, (1998) 709–729; Part III, Invent. Math., **131**, (1998) 229–256.
- [14] T. Kobayashi, *Conformal geometry and global solutions to the Yamabe equations on classical pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Proceedings of the 22nd Winter School “Geometry and Physics” (Srní, 2002), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. **71**, 2003, pp. 15–40.
- [15] T. Kobayashi, *Multiplicity-free theorems of the restrictions of unitary highest weight modules with respect to reductive symmetric pairs*, pp. 45–109, Progr. Math., **255**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2008.
- [16] T. Kobayashi, *Branching problems of Zuckerman derived functor modules*, Contemp. Math., **557**, pp. 23–40. Amer. Math. Soc., 2011. (available at arXiv:1104.4399)
- [17] T. Kobayashi, *Restrictions of generalized Verma modules to symmetric pairs*, Transform. Group, **17**, (2012) 523–546.
- [18] T. Kobayashi, *F-method for constructing equivariant differential operators*, to appear in Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., (available at arXiv: 1212.6862).
- [19] T. Kobayashi, *Propagation of multiplicity-freeness property for holomorphic vector bundles*, Progr. Math. **306**, Birkhäuser, 2013 (in press). (available at arXiv:0607004).

- [20] T. Kobayashi, G. Mano, The Schrödinger model for the minimal representation of the indefinite orthogonal group $O(p, q)$, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (2011), **212**, no. 1000 , vi+132 pp.
- [21] T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted, *Analysis on the minimal representation of $O(p, q)$* . Part I, Adv. Math., **180**, (2003) 486–512; Part II, *ibid*, 513–550; Part III, *ibid*, 551–595.
- [22] T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted, M. Pevzner, *Geometric analysis on small unitary representations of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$* , J. Funct. Anal., **260**, (2011) 1682–1720.
- [23] T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted, P. Somberg, V. Souček, *Branching laws for Verma modules and applications in parabolic geometry*, Part I, Part II, in preparation.
- [24] T. Kobayashi, T. Oshima, *Finite multiplicity theorems for induction and restriction*, preprint, 32 pp. arXiv:1108.3477
- [25] T. Kobayashi, M. Pevzner, *Rankin–Cohen operators for symmetric pairs*, preprint, 53pp. arXiv 1301.2111.
- [26] T. Kobayashi, B. Speh, *Some intertwining operators for rank one orthogonal groups*, in preparation.
- [27] B. Kostant, The vanishing of scalar curvature and the minimal representation of $SO(4, 4)$, Progr. Math., **92**, Birkhäuser, 1990, pp. 85–124.
- [28] R. A. Rankin, *The construction of automorphic forms from the derivatives of a given form*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **20**, (1956) 103–116.
- [29] B. Sun, C.-B. Zhu, *Multiplicity one theorems: the Archimedean case*, Ann. of Math., **175**, (2012) 23–44.